

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	666	19	2.85%	133	19	14.29%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	13	1	7.69%	2	1	50.00%
Housing for Persons with HIV/AIDS	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	75	0	0.00%	10	0	0.00%
Housing for Persons with HIV/AIDS	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	875	0	0.00%			

DRAFT 2016 Consolidated Plan – Annual Performance Report

Housing for Persons with HIV/AIDS	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		311	0	0.00%
Housing for Persons with HIV/AIDS	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	300	0	0.00%	60	0	0.00%
Multifamily Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	156	151	96.79%	30	151	503.33%
Multifamily Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	105	47	44.76%	21	47	223.81%
National Housing Trust Fund Goals	Affordable Housing	Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	48	0	0.00%	11	0	0.00%
National Housing Trust Fund Goals	Affordable Housing	Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	33	0	0.00%	9	0	0.00%
Prevent Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	15000	1149	7.66%	3525	1149	32.60%
Provide emergency shelter to homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	87500	14322	16.37%	16200	14322	88.41%

DRAFT 2016 Consolidated Plan – Annual Performance Report

Public Infrastructure Activities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	202800	14938	7.37%	12300	0	0.00%
Public Infrastructure Activities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	39933	0	0.00%			
Rapid Rehousing of Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	5000	1015	20.30%	925	1015	109.73%
Single Family Owner Occupied Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / CDBG-DR: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	750	128	17.07%	150	128	85.33%
Street Outreach to Homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Other	Other	3250	0	0.00%	1700	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

For the HOME Program, accomplishments are populated in the system only once information is entered at the activity level. Due to the State

DRAFT 2016 Consolidated Plan – Annual Performance Report

budget impasse, the State had been unable to access 2015 (and 2016) HOME funding, which needed to be appropriated by the Illinois General Assembly. Since then, IHDA has become a Participating Jurisdiction, bypassing the legislative process. However, IHDA was unable to access 2016 HOME funds until mid-November, 2016. While projects earmarked to receive 2016 funding have been entered via IDIS into the 2016 Action Plan and the funds have been committed, draw down of funds for these projects have been delayed. Since goals information will only appear as projects are completed, only estimated goals and outcome for 2016 are available at the time of the publishing of this draft 2016 APR.

For HOME, beneficiaries are only counted in IDIS upon Activity Completion. Some Multi-family and Single-family accomplishments recorded in 2016 were funded from years prior to 2015 but included in 2016 Accomplishments.

It should be noted that although Program Year 2016 began on January 1st, the State did not sign all its Grant Agreements with HUD until November. Therefore the amount of time the State has actually had PY2016 funds available to allocate prior to the time of this report was severely shortened. Since that time, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity has notified all grantees selected in the competitive Public Infrastructure and Housing Rehabilitation applicants have been recommended for funding. As environmental services are currently being processed, grant agreements have not been executed and therefore the grantees have not been entered into the IDIS system.

Due to short length of time, only one Economic Development (non-competitive/as-received/as-qualified program) grant awards have been made. Five grant requests are currently under evaluation.

Selection of projects to be funded with the Sandy Disaster Recovery Program funds is complete and those grants funded within the Public Infrastructure budget are underway.

Beginning with the PY16 selection process, the State has determined there should be some emphasis placed on the ratio between cost of the activity and the number of people served and will award bonus points based on the cost/benefit ratio. This emphasis would allow the State to target our limited funds to where it will benefit the most people.

At the time of the printing of this Draft 2016 Annual Performance Report, awards for the national Housing Trust Fund had not been finalized and the information was not available.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG
White	0	307	0	0
Black or African American	0	81	0	0
Asian	0	4	0	0
American Indian or American Native	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Total	0	392	0	0
Hispanic	0	19	0	0
Not Hispanic	0	373	0	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Data provided by HUD indicates that while the incidence of statewide housing problems is closely correlated to income, some population groups in Illinois still have a disproportionately greater need than the population as a whole. In the 30-50% of AMI category, Asians, Pacific Islanders and Hispanics experience a disproportionately greater percentage of housing problems than does this income category as a whole. In the 50-80% of AMI category, Asians and Hispanics experience disproportionately greater housing problems compared to this income category as a whole. In the 80-100% of AMI category, Both Asians and Hispanics experience housing problems at a rate that indicates disproportionately greater need than the population as a whole. For HOME, families assisted in 2016 by race/ethnicity were as follows: White 77.5%, Black 20.6%, Asian 1%. Less than 5% were hispanic.

For ESG, data was collected from quarterly statistical reports. Note that numbers were sometimes reported for race and ethnicity based on duplication of services provided per ESG Component. An individual may enter the program under the Street Outreach Component and also be served under the Emergency Shelter and/or Rapid Re-Housing Components during the same year.

It should be noted that although Program Year 2016 began on January 1st, the State did not sign all its Grant Agreements with HUD until November. Therefore the amount of time the State has actually had PY2016 funds available to allocate prior to the time of this report was severely shortened. Since that time, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity has notified all grantees selected in the competitive Public Infrastructure and Housing Rehabilitation applicants have been recommended for funding. As environmental services are currently being processed, grant agreements have not been executed and therefore the grantees have not been entered into the IDIS system. Therefore, the numbers reflected in the CDBG column do not accurately reflect the grants that have been awarded.

Technical assistance is being requested from HUD to incorporate HUD's Statewide list of R/ECAP census tracts into this and future Consolidated Plan documents

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		79,658,000	
HOME		33,470,000	9,150,296
HOPWA		3,568,000	
ESG		14,141,000	
Other	CDBG-DR	0	

Table 3 – Resources Made Available

Narrative

Under the HOME Program, IHDA expends the majority of its HOME Program funding for rental housing through its rental housing development program. To some extent, the expenditure of HOME Program and other program funds on housing programs is driven by the market. The Authority's impact in opportunity areas within the State is limited by applications for funding in these areas, but is interested in partnering with other community organizations. The State is also exploring opportunities to expend significant HOME funds in areas with identified concentrations of low-income and minority populations.

It should be noted that although Program Year 2016 began on January 1st, the State did not sign its all its Grant Agreements with HUD until mid-November. Therefore the amount of time the State has actually had PY 2016 funds to allocate prior to the time of this report was severely abridged. Since that time, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity has notified all grantees selected in the competitive Public Infrastructure and Housing Rehabilitation programs. As environmentals are currently being reviewed, grant agreements have not been executed and therefore the grantees have not been entered into the IDIS system. Therefore, the amount included in the CDBG "Amount Expended" column includes the amounts committed for our competitive Public Infrastructure and Housing Rehabilitation program grants. The amount of "Resources Made Available" for CDBG includes the 2015 annual Allocation less State Administration.

Additionally, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity has identified grantees for the Sandy Disaster funds totalling \$9,880,000. \$6,460,000 of this has been obligated

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Statewide Distribution	100	100	Statewide distribution via a competitive process or on a continuous basis per program parameters

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The State has identified very and extremely low-income households as one of the priority populations under the Consolidated Plan. The Authority also puts a high value on quality location of projects and availability of resources and access to amenities.

HUD provides CDBG funds to DCEO primarily for rehabilitation in projects in non-entitlement/non-urban areas. Since the CDBG program does limit the ability to utilize CDBG funds for housing beyond housing rehabilitation, the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity as administrator of CDBG targets CDBG funds to owner-occupied households in non-entitlement/non-urban areas. Under the HOME Program, IHDA expends its HOME Program funding for rental housing through its rental housing development program. To some extent, the expenditure of HOME Program and other program funds on housing programs is driven by the market. The Authority's impact in opportunity areas within the State is limited by applications for funding in these areas, but is interested in partnering with other community organizations. The State is also exploring opportunities to expend significant HOME funds in areas with identified concentrations of low-income and minority populations.

CDBG/Community Development Assistance Program grant funding is only available to communities that are not direct entitlements (receive their own direct CDBG allocation).

ESG is geographically dispersed to the Illinois Continuum of Care agencies.

HOPWA is geographically dispersed to the Illinois HIV Care Connect regions

It should be noted that although Program Year 2016 began on January 1st, the State did not sign all its Grant Agreements with HUD until November. Therefore the amount of time the State has actually had PY 2016 funds to allocate prior to the time of this report was severely abridged. Since that time, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity has notified all grantees selected in the competitive Public Infrastructure and Housing Rehabilitation programs. As environmental reviews are currently being reviewed, grant agreements have not been executed and therefore the grantees have not been entered into the IDIS system. Therefore, the amount included in the CDBG "Amount Expended" column includes the amounts committed for our competitive Public Infrastructure and Housing Rehabilitation program grants. The amount of "Resources Made Available" for CDBG includes the 2015 annual Allocation less State Administration.

Additionally, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity has identified grantees for the Sandy Disaster funds totalling \$9,880,000. \$6,460,000 of this had been obligated

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Acceptable Sources of HOME Match

- Local or State general revenues
- Housing trust funds
- Foundations, donations
- Program income from HODAG, RRP or UDAG only after grant closeout
- Value of waived taxes, fees, or charges
- Appraisal value of land or real property not acquired with Federal Funds
- Difference between appraised value and acquisition cost, if property is a acquired with Federal funds
- Grant equivalent of below market
- Interest rate loans to the project
- The cost of investments, not made with federal resources, in on and off-site infrastructure that is directly required for the affordable housing assisted with HOME funds
- Federal Home Loan Bank grants
- Value of donated material or labor
- Direct cost of supportive services that facilitate independent living or as part of a self-sufficiency program
- Direct cost of homebuyer counseling for families that complete a HOME assisted purchase

With the inability to access 2015 HOME funds, the HOME match liability was only \$3,486,749, leaving \$142,341,673 in HOME match to be carried over to the next year. The State and HUD are working to resolve all issued related to the State's budget impasse

ESG: Each grant recipient must supplement its Emergency Solutions Grant funds with an equal or greater amount of funds from other sources. 50% of the match must be cash. The amount available for matching fund purposes must be injected during the grant period. Acceptable sources of ESGP match are the State of Illinois DHS Homeless Prevention and Emergency & Transitional Housing Programs, Community Services Block Grant, FEMA, and any other source including any Federal source other than the ESG program. If ESG funds are used to satisfy the matching requirements of another Federal program, then funding from that program may not be used to satisfy the ESG matching requirements. Matching contributions must meet all requirements that apply to ESG funds, the funds must be provided after the date that HUD signs the grant agreement, cash contributions must be expended with the expenditure deadline and noncash contributions must be made within the expenditure deadline. The matching requirement may be met by cash contributions and/or noncash contributions.

CDBG/Community Development Assistance Program:

The State must match all but \$100,000 of the funds received for program administration. Local Public Infrastructure grantees are required to commit a minimum of 25% to the project while Economic Development grants must be matched dollar for dollar. Housing Rehabilitation grants do not require leverage

National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF)

For the NHTF, Projects which are able to commit other non-federal funds as part of their project financing will be given additional consideration. There is no State or local match requirements for NHTF, but IHDA anticipates most NHTF-funded projects will be highly leveraged.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	142,341,673
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	2,125,171
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	144,466,844
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	7,051,228
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	137,415,615

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
11150	05/03/2016	0	5,240	0	0	0	0	5,240
11183	07/19/2016	750,000	0	850,000	0	0	0	1,600,000
50854	10/28/2015	1,477	0	0	0	0	0	1,477
NON 16 01 11026	11/30/2015	518,454	0	0	0	0	0	518,454

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period	Amount received during reporting period	Total amount expended during reporting period	Amount expended for TBRA	Balance on hand at end of reporting period
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
450,734	5,084,607	2,110,166	0	3,425,175

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	40,318,653	0	0	0	0	40,318,653
Number	5	0	0	0	0	5
Sub-Contracts						
Number	70	0	1	13	2	54
Dollar Amount	28,671,554	0	149,340	5,691,893	985,484	21,844,837
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	40,318,653	0	40,318,653			
Number	5	0	5			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	70	9	61			
Dollar Amount	28,671,554	1,551,368	27,120,186			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	6	0	0	0	0	6
Dollar Amount	11,212,525	0	0	0	0	11,212,525

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0	0			
Businesses Displaced		0	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0	0			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		77	183,280			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	14	0	0	12	2	0
Cost	37,229	0	0	28,724	8,505	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	925	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	271	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	381	0
Total	1,577	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	1,344	561
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	43	151
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	190	175
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	1,577	887

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The State was delayed accessing its HOME funding. While projects earmarked to receive 2016 funding have been entered via IDIS into the 2016 Action Plan and the funds have been committed, the draw-down of funds for these projects has been delayed. Goal information for HOME only appears as projects are completed. Actual goals include all HOME Multifamily and Singlefamily units and CDBG housing rehab completed in 2016.

For ESG, the delay in completion of CR-65 impedes reporting of the total number of homeless provided affordable housing units and the total of households provided rental assistance. The number of homeless households that received rental assistance through ESG, per CR 70, is 561. The final number of actual households receiving rental assistance should exceed this number. HOPWA totals were not available at the time of the printing of this document.

It should be noted that although Program Year 2016 began on January 1st, the State did not sign its Grant Agreements with HUD until November. Therefore the amount of time the State has actually had PY 2016 funds to allocate prior to the time of this report was severely abridged. Since that time, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity has selected Housing Rehabilitation grantees and are awaiting final approval. However, grant agreements have not been executed with the unit of local government or entered into the IDIS system and applications from LMI households have not been pursued. Therefore there is no accurate way at this time to determine the number of person served by CDBG funds.

At the time of the printing of this DRAFT 2016 APR, HOPWA goals were not available, and are not included in the numbers above

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

For the HOME Program, accomplishments are populated in the system only once information is entered at the activity level. Due to the State budget impasse, the State was unable to access its 2016 CDBG and HOME funding until November. While projects earmarked to receive 2016 funding have been entered via IDIS into the 2016 Action Plan and the funds have been committed, the State was delayed in drawing down funds for these projects. Since goals information will only appear for HOME as projects are completed, only estimated goals and outcome for HOME are available at the time of the printing of this draft 2016 APR. In addition, Governor Rauner took action to designate IHDA as the direct Participating Jurisdiction (PJ) grantee for the State's HOME Program in 2016.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	11
Low-income	0	3
Moderate-income	0	1
Total	0	15

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

Rental assistance includes ESG rapid rehousing and HOPWA's AIDS-designated housing facilities and a pilot TBRA program. Rehab includes CDAP-housing rehab, and HOME multifamily rehab.

It should be noted that although Program Year 2016 began on January 1st, the State did not sign its Grant Agreements with HUD until November. Therefore the amount of time the State has actually had PY 2016 funds to allocate prior to the time of this report was severely abridged. Since that time, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity has selected Housing Rehabilitation grantees and

are awaiting final approval. However, grant agreements have not been executed with the unit of local government or entered into the IDIS system and applications from LMI households have not been pursued. Therefore there is no accurate way at this time to determine the number of person served by CDBG funds

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction’s progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Homeless outreach services include connecting individuals with emergency shelter, housing, onsite healthcare, and other critical services. These outreach services help to provide temporary shelter and access to services to transition to more permanent housing, based on individual needs

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Housing relocation and stabilization services are available and short- and/or medium-term rental assistance is provided as necessary, to help individuals or families living in shelters or in places not meant for human habitation move as quickly as possible into permanent housing and achieve stability in that housing

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Housing relocation and stabilization services are available and short- and/or medium-term rental assistance is provided as necessary, to prevent the individual or family from becoming homeless if annual income of the individual or family is below 30 percent of median family income and assistance is necessary to help program participants regain stability in their current permanent housing or move into other permanent housing and achieve stability in that housing. These relocation and stabilization services are provided through rental subsidy programs (both housing choice vouchers and project-based vouchers) and services. Some of these resources are currently being used in relation to the State's Olmstead-related consent decrees, to aid class members in transitioning out of institutions into the community

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were

recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Services for special populations include emergency shelter, case management, childcare, education services, employment assistance and job training outpatient health services, legal services, life skills training, mental health services, substance abuse treatment services, and transportation. Services are provided by a myriad of State agencies. Housing-related assistance is also provided through rental assistance and affordable housing development. Statewide Referral Network units on the Illinois Housing Search website are also available for people that are experiencing chronic homelessness or are at risk of homelessness. These efforts are working towards reducing homelessness and shortening the amount of time someone is homeless

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

PHAs remained part of the regular notification and distribution process for Consolidated Plan documents. IHDA/SPAR certified the Consistency of PHA Agency Plans with the State Consolidated Plan for 21 non-Entitlement PHAs which were not covered by a local Consolidated Plan. To facilitate better communications, an October 20, 2015 memo was sent out to all PHAs within the State's jurisdiction. It will be updated in 2017. IHDA also keeps the Illinois Association of Housing Authorities (IAHA) and the Illinois Chapter of the National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials (NAHRO) informed of these new procedures (as well as of new program and funding opportunities) by attending and speaking at their annual meetings and conferences. IHDA also worked with a number of PHAs to encourage utilizing Project-Based Vouchers with developers of LIHTC projects, which allowed deeper income targeting to serve VLI and ELI households. IHDA also worked with a number of PHAs on the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Program to convert public housing into Section 8 project-based housing units. Some 6 PHAs had 7 RAD projects (involving over 900 public housing units) were approved by IHDA's Board in 2016. IHDA also worked with PHAs interested in establishing a disabilities preference. It also worked with 5 PHAs which provided a local match of public housing units and/or Housing Choice Vouchers for IHDA's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program. These included Chicago, Cook County, Lake County, Rockford, and Decatur.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The State of Illinois does not own or operate any public housing as a public housing authority. Accordingly, the State did not expect to play a management role in encouraging residents of public housing to become more involved in the management of public housing. All public housing authorities are required by HUD to have Resident Councils or Advisory Boards. They are also required to have a resident serving as a member on their Boards of Commissioners. The Councils/Advisory Boards must review and comment on the public housing authority's Annual Agency Plan prior to submittal to HUD.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

As of May 5, 2017, HUD informed IHDA that the PHAs that it had designated as "troubled" under the State of Illinois' Consolidated Plan were Alexander County Housing Authority and Vermillion County Housing Authority. Vermillion County Housing Authority is also designated as SEMAP "troubled". The State can, upon local request, provide outreach and technical assistance in an effort to better assess and evaluate options for improving operations, resolving non-compliance problems, and identifying other housing-related needs and issues.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Refer to narrative contained in the Marketing Analysis and Strategic Plan sections of the Five-Year 2015 to 2019 Consolidated Plan detailing the State’s approach to the following identified barriers:

1. Building Codes
2. Home Rule
3. Impact Fees
4. Property Taxes
5. Cost and Availability of Land
6. Availability of Affordable and Accessible Housing
7. Public Housing
8. Preservation
9. Homelessness
10. Other Special Needs

Reduction of Regulatory Barriers to Affordable Housing (Continued)

1. Housing Affordability Impact Notes (HAINs)-IHDA continues to review all proposed State legislation that a legislator requests this note on. IHDA processed 10 of HAINs in 2016.2.

Illinoishousingsearch.org-IHDA coordinates this statewide housing locator system which provides a vehicle for landlords to advertise available and affordable rental properties throughout the state. Some 7,400 landlords have registered over 105,000 housing units on this web-based site. It is also used to locate housing for the Statewide Referral Network (SRN), an inter-agency effort to find housing for persons with disabilities and/or homeless/at-risk homeless persons, all of which also have household incomes at or below 30% AMI. In addition, it is used for the same purpose for IHDA’s Section 811 program (see below). The system maintains waiting lists for the latter two uses to take advantage of occupancy opportunities when they become available. Over 320 persons have been housed in SRN and Section 811 units.3. Affordable Housing Planning and Appeal Act (AHPAA) – IHDA continues to administer this State-authorized program to encourage local governments with less than 10% of their housing stock to develop affordable housing plans and support affordable housing development. There were 68 such localities deemed as “non-exempt” in December of 2013. This list is done every five years to allow usage of updated data required to be used to make these determinations. IHDA and partnering organizations provide technical assistance on request to such communities to discuss development and financing options., and also developed a handbook for local usage to better explain AHPAA and its benefits. IHDA also created an AHPAA and “at-risk” set-aside under its LIHTC Program in 2010, also including local governments with up to 20% of their total housing stock being

affordable, to encourage such affordable housing development. Since that time, some 54 projects representing almost 3,500 rental housing units have been developed in these communities. Nearly all of these communities are in the metropolitan Chicago area.⁴ Accessibility-IHDA continues to require enhanced accessibility standards⁵ as a mandated requirement under its LIHTC Program, requiring 10% physically accessible and 2% sensory-impaired units in all projects. IHDA also incentivizes further use of universal Design Standards⁶ under its LIHTC Program by providing competitive scoring points for applicants in this area.⁵ HUD-Section 811 Project- Based Rental Assistance (PRA) Demonstration Program- This HFA-administered rental assistance program is targeted to class members of ADA-related court consent decrees and other deinstitutionalization efforts who are coming out of institutional settings into community-based housing. These are generally tied to IHDA-financed properties. IHDA's Board approved some 105 rental housing units for this assistance in 2016.⁶ Rental Assistance-IHDA established the ability for an LIHTC property owner in 2016 to establish a rental /operating reserve⁷ in any project that included Statewide Referral Network units, which was funded through an allowable 3% increase in developer fees to better serve these 30% AMI or below households. Besides the Section 811 program described above, IHDA also continues to administer the State-funded Rental Housing Support Program (RHSP), assisting approximately 1175 extremely low-income renters in 2016.

Reduction of Regulatory Barriers to Affordable Housing (Further Continued)

7. Homeownership Assistance programs-IHDA financed over 6,000 homebuyer mortgage loans totaling over \$750 million in 2016, most of these being first-time homebuyers. IHDA also provides down payment assistance itself through multiple outside sources, including USDA-Rural Development (Section 502 loan Guarantee) and FHLB (Down Payment plus Program).⁸ Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Program-This is a HUD program which encourages public housing authorities (PHAs) to convert their public housing into Section 8 project-based or project-based vouchers by entering into a public-private partnership. Due to major deferred maintenance and adequate funding issues, most of these projects also require extensive rehabilitation or redevelopment work. Such PHA projects must first document whether the proposed RAD rehabilitation project can qualify for 4% non-competitive tax credits. IHDA approved 7 RAD projects with 6 PHAs (involving over 900 public housing units) in 2016 through both its regular (9%) and 4% LIHTC programs.

HUD Section 811 Program

As the State's housing finance agency, IHDA has been awarded two separate Section 811 grants under this program totaling over \$18 million to assist low-income households with long-term disabilities to live independently in community-based housing by providing rental assistance for supportive housing properties. Qualifying persons with disabilities coming out of nursing homes and other institutional facilities are eligible, including class members under one of the State's three ADA-related court consent decrees, participants in the State's Money Follows the Person (MFP) program, or from closing State Operated Developmental Centers (SODCs). IHDA works with participating State agencies to make units available to clients through the Statewide Referral Network (SRN). Through its interagency partnership,

it has established and maintains both SRN and Section 811 waiting lists on its housing locator website (previously discussed). In addition, through the Section 811 Interagency Panel, a Communities of Preference list has been established and is updated annually and is actually used as part of the competitive scoring of LIHTC applications. As was referenced earlier, IHDA's Board approved 105 of these Section 811 units in 2016, all of which are tied to newly built or rehabbed LIHTC rental housing properties. Additional landlord outreach has been underway to further encourage their participation in this important rental assistance program for persons with disabilities.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

LIHTC: One way the State addressed obstacles to meeting the State's underserved needs was through its Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program. The LIHTC program assisted in developing affordable housing for underserved populations by using indirect Federal subsidies to finance the development or redevelopment of affordable rental housing for low-income households (at 60 percent area median income or below). The Internal Revenue Service allocates federal tax credits to State housing finance agencies which then award tax credits to eligible affordable housing developers who use the equity capital generated from the sale of these tax credits to lower the debt service on developing these tax credit properties, making it easier to offer lower, more affordable rents. Units must maintain affordable rents for at least 30 years.

There are two types of Low Income Housing Tax Credits: 9 percent tax credits, and 4 percent tax credits. Nine percent tax credits (known as the competitive tax credit) can subsidize up to 70 percent of the eligible development costs for new construction and substantial rehabilitation of housing projects that are not otherwise subsidized by the federal government. Four percent tax credits (known as the non-competitive tax credit) can be used for rehabilitation projects and when 50 percent or more of a project's eligible cost are financed with tax-exempt private activity bonds.

The Qualified Action Plan (QAP) specified how the State will review, approve, and allocate federal Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs). As the State's LIHTC allocating agency, IHDA coordinated the development of the 2016-2017 Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP). Besides the program's already statutory targeting to households at 60% of area median income or below, IHDA built a number of factors into its application scoring system to incentivize further targeting to lower-income households. These included the following point categories: Rental Assistance; Larger Units; Green Building (lower utility bills); Opportunity Areas; Created a new category for Community Revitalization Plans to include economic revitalization efforts; improved the Enhancing Accessibility area by mandatory 10%/2% accessibility for all applications, IHDA's use of the ICC's Universal Housing Design Standards; Highlighted requirements to applicants for action steps in Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plans to evidence outreach efforts to reach "these groups most least likely to apply"; continued to have the AHPAA Set-Aside, including updated lists of non-exempt as well as "at-risk" communities (i.e. those with 20% or less affordable housing share); continued the point category for a 10-20% set-aside for supportive housing populations, those being defined as persons with disabilities (a protected class) and/or homeless and at-risk persons and families; Retaining and expanding allowable sources of all federal and State rental assistance; and

establishing an allowable 3% Developer Fee for a Rental/Operating Reserve for Statewide Referral Network (SRN) rents.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Public Act 93-0789 established Illinois' Lead Safe Housing Advisory Council (LSHAC). Composed of advocacy groups, public health, state agency and industry representatives, the work of the LSHAC includes both regulatory and legislative recommendations in the areas of screening and prevention, lead safe work practices, education, and funding for the remediation/rehabilitation of housing that contains lead poisoning hazards. The Lead Poisoning Prevention Act (Public Act 94-0879 establishes pre-emptive measures to prevent childhood lead-poisoning, including increased inspections of buildings suspected of containing lead hazards. The LPPA includes provisions barring owners of residential buildings who have willfully violated lead safety laws from doing business with the State of Illinois or any State agency until the violation is mitigated, along with increased fines and mandatory notice to tenants of possible lead hazards in their building. In response to recommendations in the LSHAC's report, Public Act 95-0492 was signed into law. The Act established the window replacement (CLEAR-WIN) program to prevent future cases of lead poisoning by assisting residential property owners reduce lead-paint hazards through window replacement in pilot areas across the state. An evaluation of CLEAR-WIN pilot program activities in Peoria and the Englewood community in Chicato conducted by the University of Illinois (UIC) at Chicago for HUD exhibited a successful lead dust reduction of more than 90% in the homes serviced.

The Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH), under a grant from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) convened the Lead Poisoning Elimination Advisory Council (LPEAC) to assist IDPH in developing the State's Lead Program Healthy Homes Strategic Plan, guiding lead poisoning elimination initiatives and providing recommendations on enhancing participation from communities across the State. With the creation in IDPH of the Division of Environmental Health-Healthy Homes Program, IDPH, along with the LPEAC, updated its Healthy Homes Strategic Plan to reflect the State's on-going, multi-faceted approach to lead poisoning prevention and expanding Healthy Homes issues

Effective January 1, 2015, significant changes strengthening the Illinois Lead Poisoning Prevention Act (LPPA) include classifying pregnant persons with an elevated blood lead level as subject to the same IDPH case management and environmental response as children, and clarification for the reporting of ALL blood-lead tests in Illinois, along with restrictions on data disclosure. The Department has the ability to issue Emergency Stop Work Orders where the public health is in jeopardy as the result of improper work activities disturbing lead bearing surfaces. Enforcement capabilities expanded in cases where violations of the LPPA and the Lead Poisoning Prevention Code (LPPC) have occurred, with a penalty provision bringing Illinois in compliance with U.S. EPA requirements for all authorized State programs. Language on lead reporting and lead work activities includes physicians/healthcare providers, laboratories, schools, daycare providers, owners of regulated facilities with an identified lead hazard and both licensed and unlicensed construction industry professionals

Governor's Cabinet on Children and Youth

The Governor's Cabinet on Children and Youth, a State Agency Directors'-level working-group, convened October 3, 2016, identifying three initiatives designed to benefit the safety and well-being of Illinois' youth. Reducing the Childhood Lead Burden, a primary focus under the Cabinet, is designed to Improve Identification and Response to Lead-Poisoning and Lead Hazards; Ensuring Safe Homes; Developing Data Driven Solutions; Connecting to Social Services; and Prevention and Education. The concerted, eighteen month initiative is designed for wide input from both the public and private sectors. Focus shall include enhancing current codes and possible legislation to address a broader base of lead poisoning issues, such as public water infrastructure.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The State of Illinois operated a variety of anti-poverty efforts coordinated with employment/training, housing assistance efforts, and other services in 2016. A brief summary follows:

(1) The HHS-funded Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Program is the major federally-funded anti-poverty program, using Community Action Agencies (CAAs) as a link to coordinate these anti-poverty efforts at the local level. In coordination with other subject-specific programs, efforts are geared toward enabling low-income persons to become more self-sufficient. It is administered by DCEO at the State level, which funds the statewide network of CAAs and related organizations on an annual basis, contingent on federal funding. Uses of CSBG funds include the following: economic development; education; emergency assistance; health; housing; income management; linkages; nutrition; and self-sufficiency. DCEO issued the State's 2016-2017 CSBG Plan. IHDA funds a number of local CAAs for housing rehabilitation program.

(2) IDHS continues to administer most of the State's homeless assistance services programs. These have included both the State-funded Homeless Prevention Program and the Emergency & Transitional Housing Program, both of which have been at least partially if not fully funded through the State's Affordable Housing Trust Fund as well as with General Revenue Funds. It also administers the HUD-funded Emergency Solutions Grant Program, assisting local homeless services agencies with rehabilitation, operation/maintenance costs, essential services, and homeless prevention/rapid rehousing programs.

(3) DCEO also administers both the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance program (LIHEAP) as well as the Illinois Home Weatherization Assistance Program (IHWAP). Both provide utility subsidy assistance and weatherization improvements to both low-income homeowners and renters.

(4) DCEO also administered the DOL-funded Workforce Investment and Opportunity Act funding, which provides federal funding to workforce development boards (WDBs) throughout the state for local employment and job training programs. The State coordinated its efforts in this area by establishing Illinois Employment & Training Centers, which include staffing from WDBs, IDES-unemployment

assistance and employment data and projections, and IDHS, to provide a one-stop shop for human services. IHDA also provided this information for LIHTC applicants who were working in Community Revitalization Areas to encourage them to include an economic development/employment and training component in their local plans.

5) IHDA continued to administer approximately 30% of the State's Section 8 Project-Based Assistance properties since the program's inception, most of which has partially or wholly financed those developments. IHDA regularly works with the owners of "expiring properties" to encourage renewal of these rental assistance contracts by providing refinancing and rehabilitation assistance, often through tax-exempt bond financing and 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) as well as HUDs/Treasurys Risk Sharing Program. IHDA is considering applying for Project-Based Contract Administration when the revised NOFA /RFP is released in 2017.

(6) IHDA continued to administer the State-funded Rental Housing Support Program (RHSP), which targets rental assistance through Local Administrative Agencies (LAAs) to households at 15-30% area median income. Funds were frozen in 2015 due to litigation that was resolved in December 2015. RHSP also includes Long-Term Operating Support (LTOS). A total of 1,175 households are receiving rental assistance under the combined programs. The Section 811 Program is specifically targeted to persons with disabilities who are coming out of institutional facilities and searching for community-based housing.

Actions taken to reduce poverty-level families continued

(7) IHDA also strongly encouraged income targeting to lower-income households through the scoring system for its LIHTC Program. These efforts were previously discussed in this section. (8) IDHS-Division of Mental Health administers a rental assistance program for persons with mental illness via its Bridge Rental Subsidy Program (BRSP). It is funded through a State-imposed hospital tax and General Revenue Funds. IDoA also administered a similar BRSP for Colbert consent decree class members. Over 2,100 combined persons received rental assistance in 2016 through these two programs. (9) IDHS administered the Home Services Program for persons with disabilities to provide in-home services to avoid premature or unnecessary institutionalization. IDoA administered a similar in-home services program for the elderly population known as the Community Care Program. Both were authorized under federally approved Medicaid waivers. Similarly, for years the State has operated a Supportive Living Program through IDHFS, also through a Medicaid waiver. It provides assisted living services funding to elderly persons and persons with physical disabilities, primarily those eligible for Medicare, so that they can reside in affordable housing that is less restrictive and less expensive than nursing homes. IHDA has assisted in the financing of many of these properties.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

IHDA serves on three court consent decree implementation teams (Williams/Colbert/Ligas) as well as the IDHFS Money Follows the Person (MFP) Program, which also included social service provider

agencies.

IHDA serves on the Illinois Interagency Council on Homelessness to improve coordination of programs and services.

IHDA also served in the Governor's Rural Affairs Council and continued to be a member of Rural Partners.

IHDA continued to coordinate its affordable housing program with DCEO's energy efficiency programs.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Continued work with the Supportive Housing Providers Association and the State's 20 Continua of Care Homeless Services homeless service agencies

Continued work with local PHAs on PHA Agency Plans, RAD projects, and mixed financing. Also to be working with them on Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing assessments.

Continued coordination with the Illinois Housing Council, again co-sponsoring the Governor's Annual Conference on Affordable Housing, LIHTC/QAP summits, and other related training and informational meetings.

Continued work with the Rural Rental Housing Association of Illinois (RRHAI).

Began work with IDHS to evaluate IHDA's potential role in HUD/DOJ's "Pay for Success" model for improving the delivery of social services to create more community-based living options.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

As IHDA's next Five-Year Consolidated Plan (2020-2024) will be due in November of 2019, the first State AFH will be due in February of that year.

IHDA has carried out related AFFH actions, and has viewed these measures as representative of sound policy, and plans to continue these activities into the future. They included the following:

1. Opportunity Areas) – IHDA has designated Opportunity Areas based on poverty level and other indicators measuring access to jobs. Opportunity Areas are updated annually and IHDA has maintained an open-door policy on incorporation of data sets that best represent the State of Illinois. Opportunity Areas and Proximate Opportunity Areas – areas in close proximity with demonstrable connection – are currently incentivized in the LIHTC-QAP with 10 points.

IHDA has continued researching the possibility of changing to an Opportunity Index that would incorporate additional factors into the Opportunity measurement (i.e. education, environmental health, etc.).

2. Community Revitalization Planning – in 2016, IHDA added an enhanced scoring criteria to the QAP (equal to up to 10 points) for quality community revitalization planning efforts that demonstrated affordable housing development as part of a concerted effort to increase opportunity within a community. This effort expanded the standard requirements for the content of community revitalization plans, especially those involving a project proposed in a Qualified Census Tract (QCT), to include economic revitalization efforts and other localized efforts. IHDA worked with a variety of partners (MPC, Enterprise, etc.) to develop its initial set of plan review criteria, and will continue to grow this effort in future QAPs. Additionally, IHDA has hired a Community Revitalization Specialist to provide and coordinate technical assistance throughout the state as well as oversee the community revitalization scoring efforts.

3. Concentration and Preservation Metrics – IHDA has incorporated different market metrics into its review of Preliminary Project Assessments (PPAs) and LIHTC applications since 2012. In the last year, IHDA has formalized the Affordable Rental Unit Survey which has served as an estimator of rental affordability (independent of rent restrictions) for each census tract throughout the state. Additionally, IHDA published and maintained an Affordability Risk Index, a tool that is designed to read the need to preserve affordability by measuring change over time in key market metrics.

Fair Housing Choice Continued

4. Additional QAP Changes - IHDA's 2016-2017 QAP included several updates/additions to make it more responsive to State actions which affirmatively further fair housing. These included:

a. Improving the Enhancing Accessibility point category to include language on IHDA's use of the ICC's Universal Housing Design standards as part of its building standards; (also mandating a higher 10%/2% accessibility standard for all projects);

b. Highlighting requirements to applicants for action steps in Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plans (AFHMPs) to evidence outreach efforts to reach "those groups least likely to apply;"

c. Continuing to have the AHPAA Set-Aside, including updated lists of non-exempt as well as "at-risk" communities (i.e., those with 20% or less affordable housing share);

d. Continuing the point category for a 10-20% set-aside for supportive housing populations, those being defined as persons with disabilities (a protected class) and/or homeless and at-risk persons and families;

e. Requesting local government support, but eliminating any mandated documents. Use of local approval, Consolidated Plan Consistency Certifications, and local HOME/CDBG funding as ways to

positively reward applicants;

f. Retaining income targeting;

g. Retaining, expanding, and incentivizing allowable sources of all federal and state rental assistance; and,

h. Establishing an allowable 3 percent Developer Fee for establishing a Rental/Operating Reserve. Actions outside of IHDA included:

1. Coordinated technical assistance with IDHS to identify and work with PHAs interested in participating in the remedial preferencing policy for persons with disabilities, which was approved by HUD (for Illinois PHAs);

2. Explored assisting DCEO in establishing AFFH actions which can be carried out by units of general local government (UGLGs), the CDAP-eligible grantees, including passage and enforcement of fair housing ordinances;

3. Worked with IDHS-DMH to continue implementation of the Bridge Rental Subsidy Program, a rental assistance program for persons with mental illness moving out of state-licensed facilities, and the same program for moving persons out of skilled nursing facilities in Cook County.

4. Worked with the Metropolitan Planning Council (MPC) and Enterprise Community Partners to better evaluate its usage of opportunity areas for both the Regional Housing Initiative (RHI) and CRHCI (vouchers) Programs.

5. Worked with Illinois Department of Human Rights on its statewide discrimination study, which included a fair/affordable housing working group.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Objectives of State monitoring and reporting on programs are to determine if grantees and sponsors:

- Are carrying out local projects and programs as described in their applications (as revised or amended) and contracts/grant agreements;
- Are carrying out the program or project in a timely manner;
- Are charging costs to the program or project which are eligible under applicable regulations and the grant/regulatory agreement;
- Are complying with other applicable laws, regulations, and terms of the contract/grant agreement;
- Are conducting the program in a manner which minimizes the opportunity for fraud, waste, and mismanagement; and
- Have a continuing capacity to carry out the approved program or project.

The State of Illinois currently receives under direct HUD formula grant funding four (4) programs: The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) administered by Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity (DCEO); the HOME Program, administered by Illinois Housing Development Authority (IHDA), the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program, and the Emergency Solutions Grants program administered by the Department of Human Services. While each agency has its own procedures, most of the major steps in monitoring are very similar. Grantees and/or project sponsors are responsible for selecting, planning, and carrying out approved programs, projects, and activities in accordance with State and Federal law and regulations, and with the contracts or grant agreements executed between each grantee or sponsor and one of the four State funding agencies (DCEO, IHDA, IDHS or IDPH). The State ultimately has the responsibility to ensure that grantees and project sponsors are carrying out their programs in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations. **Note:** IHDA did not receive its approved grant agreement for the Housing Trust Fund until March of 2017.

Management of Monitoring Activities:

The two major types of monitoring that State agency staff carry out for these programs are: desk monitoring and field monitoring.

Desk monitoring is an ongoing process of retrieving a grantees'/sponsors performance. It involves using all available data or making judgments about performance and is conducted in the office of the state funding agency (DCEO, IHDA, IDHS, or IDPH).

DRAFT 2016 Consolidated Plan – Annual Performance Report

Among the sources of information available for desk review and analysis are:

- 1) approved application(s);
- 2) the grant or regulatory agreement or contract;
- 3) grantee-prepared environmental reviews (DCEO and HOME);
- 4) drawdown requests for reimbursement;
- 5) grantee responses to monitoring and audit findings;
- 6) monitoring trip reports;
- 7) citizen and administrative complaints;
- 8) litigation;
- 9) data supplied by other state and federal agencies; and
- 10) audit reports.

Information is used to observe lack of activity or inappropriate changes in grantee/project sponsor activity, to identify problems, potential problems, program status and accomplishments. Analysis of the data may indicate the need for a special monitoring visit by program staff to resolve or prevent a problem.

	HOME	CDBG	ESG	HOPWA
Application completeness and eligibility review (prior to funding)	X	X	X	X
Grant or regulatory agreement (containing all Federal and State compliance requirements)	X	X	X	X
Environmental review (including flood insurance/ historic preservation)	X	X	X	N/A
Local recordkeeping requirements	X	X	X	X
Review of drawdown of funds (original source documentation/reasonableness of costs)	X	X	X	X
Real property acquisition	X	X	N/A	N/A
Review of operations/maintenance, essential services, and	X	N/A	X	X
Relocation (URA and Section 104(d))	X	X	N/A	X
Labor standards (wage decisions/payroll reviews/employee interviews/et al)	X	X	N/A	N/A
Housing rehabilitation (program guidelines, housing quality standards, work write-ups/cost estimates, inspections)	X	X	X	X
New housing construction (property standards)	X	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tenant-based rental assistance	X	N/A	X	X
Beneficiaries-income eligibility reviews	X	X	X	X

Fair housing/EEO - including affirmative marketing	X	X	X	X
Closeout report (review)	X	X	X	X
Financial and Compliance Audits	X	X	X	X

Table 14 - The major areas of field and desk monitoring are as follows:

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The Public Notice on the availability of the draft 2016 APR was published prior to the distribution of the draft Annual Performance Report. This was done to ensure citizen participation and inform the general public of the report's availability. The Public Notice was published in the following ten newspapers: *Belleville News; Breeze Courier (Taylorville, official State newspaper); Champaign News-Gazette; Daily Southtown; Evansville Courier & Press; Peoria Journal-Star; The Dispatch Argus-Moline; Rockford Registrar Star; Southern Illinoisan* and the *State Journal-Register*.

The draft 2016 Annual Performance Report was distributed electronically to over 520 organizations, agencies, and individuals across the State. The draft was also posted on the State of Illinois - State Library System website for download by state libraries located around the State. Hard copies of the State's Draft Plan were also made available upon request. A public hearing was held May 3, 2016 in Springfield on the Annual Performance Report.

The public comments period for the APR began on , 2017. The public comments period ended . Written comments were accepted until , 2017. The State generally receives few if any written public comments or letters on the APR, other than corrections of typographical errors. This is largely due to the nature of the document being a reporting mechanism for activities already carried out. _ public comments were received.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

It should be noted that although Program Year 2016 began on January 1st, the State did not sign all it's Grant Agreements with HUD until November. Therefore the amount of time the State has actually had PY2016 funds available to allocate prior to the time of this report was severely shortened. Since that time, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity has notified all grantees selected in the competitive Public Infrastructure and Housing Rehabilitation applicants have been recommended for funding. As environmental services are currently being processed, grant agreements have not been executed and therefore the grantees have not been entered into the IDIS system.

The CDBG Public Infrastructure program considers low-to-moderate income percentage, threat to health and safety, and project readiness in scoring applications. Many of the highest LMI communities are in rural areas with fewer residents. This results in a higher cost/lower benefit per person ratio. To assure that we are utilizing our limited funding for the best purposes, beginning in PY16 the State factored in the cost/benefit ratio by assigning bonus points where the cost benefit ratio was higher. This change will also help us to meet our "Number of persons served" goal.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No
--	----

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

In 2016, IHDA's Asset Management staff performed a total of 99 on-site Management and Occupancy Reviews, 58 Desk reviews of management and occupancy benchmarks and 62 on-site Physical Inspections at HOME funded developments. Asset Management staff reviews the administrative functions, physical condition and general operational performance of HOME funded developments when performing site inspections. The majority of the properties had no issues or findings requiring follow-up. The following properties had notable deficiencies:

- H-077 Chaney/ Braggs: physical condition deficiencies.
- H-385 Lake View Senior: physical condition deficiencies
- H-76 Grand Blvd. Renaissance: physical condition deficiencies
- H-10082 Cottage Apts.: physical condition deficiencies

IHDA staff continues to work with these owners to resolve any outstanding issues, and consistently seeks to maintain a high quality of operational performance.

A total of 3 new HOME developments that completed construction but did not complete leasing in 2016 will receive initial inspections in 2017.

New HOME properties that completed construction but not leasing in 2016 to be inspected in **2017**:

Project	Location	Status
H-11094	Axley Place, Glenview	Under construction...Inspect in 2017
H-11112	Fairhaven Crossing, Mundelein	Under construction ...Inspect in 2017
H-10775	Danville Veterans Housing, Danville	Under construction...Inspect in 2017

DRAFT 2016 Consolidated Plan – Annual Performance Report

Dev. Name	HOME DEV. #	Management & Occupancy Review	Physical Inspection
Carlinville Hts. Apts.	H-10180	Desk Review	Yes
Casa Heritage	H/CDT/STC-10845	On-site Review	Yes
Central Park Apts.	H-078 / HTF-0270	On-site Review	Yes
Chaney Braggs	H-077 / HTF-0294	On-site Review	Yes
Cicero Gardens	H-008/1602-10376	On-site Review	No
Conrad Apts.	H/1602-10234	Desk Review	Yes
Coppin House	H-2317 / HTF-2317	On-site Review	Yes
Cortland Estate	H-151	On-site Review	No
Cottage Apts.	H/TCAP/1602-10082	On-site Review	Yes
Cougill Senior Apartments	H-566	Desk Review	No
Country Lane	H/HTF-10612	On-site Review	No
Country View Apts.	H-485	On-site Review	No
Country View Estates	H-239	Desk Review	No
Countryside Village	H-10430	On-site Review	No
Crestview Village Apts.	H-2023/1602-10375	Desk Review	Yes
Crowne Hill Estates	H-725	On-site Review	Yes
Crystal View Townhomes	H / FAF-2884	On-site Review	No
Douglass Square Apts.	H-2055 / HTF-2055	On-site Review	No
East Park Apts.	H-075	On-site Review	Yes

Table 15 - Properties inspected during 2016 were as follows:

Dev. Name	HOME DEV. #	Management & Occupancy Review	Physical Inspection
Emerald Ridge aka Defense Area Redevelopment	H/HTF-10718	On-site Review	No
Emerald Village	RS-242 / H-102	Desk Review	Yes
Englewood Apartments	H-2856	On-site Review	Yes
Evergreen Tower I	RS/H-10703	On-site Review	Yes
Finley Place Apartments	H-572	Desk Review	No
Fox Meadows, SLF	H / CDT-2794	On-site Review	No
Fox River Horizon	HTF-1369 / H-478	On-site Review	No
Fox River Horizon II	H-718 / HTF-1764	Desk Review	No
G&A Senior Residence at Eastgate Village	H-2706 / HTF-2706	On-site Review	No
Gilmore Estates	H-10635	Desk Review	No
Grand Apts.	H-504 / HTF-1230	On-site Review	No
Grand Blvd. Ren.	H-076	Desk Review	Yes
Green View Estates	H-2092	On-site Review	Yes
Haven House	H/HTF-2806	On-site Review	No
Herrin Pineview Apts.	H-2483	On-site Review	No

DRAFT 2016 Consolidated Plan – Annual Performance Report

Holland Apts.	H-400 / HTF-1238	On-site Review	Yes
Hollow Tree Apts.	H-153	Desk Review	No
Hollow Tree Apts. II	H-484	Desk Review	No
Hope Manor Apts.	H-1602-10120	On-site Review	Yes

Table 16 - Properties Inspected continued

Dev. Name	HOME DEV. #	Management & Occupancy Review	Physical Inspection
Hunt Club Village Senior Apts.	RS-269 / H-370 / HTF-1248	On-site Review	Yes
Hunter's Trace Subdivision	H-2869	On-site Review	Yes
Indian Trail Apts.	H/CDBG-10452	Desk Review	No
Kingsbury Campus Apts.	H-480 / HTF-1279	Desk Review	Yes
Lake View Senior Apts.	H-385	On-site Review	Yes
Lamplight Manor	H-10429	On-site Review	Yes
Liberty Arms Sr. Apts.	RS / H / HTF-2063	On-site Review	No
Little Egypt Estates	H-2046	On-site Review	No
Lockport Senior Housing	H-386	On-site Review	No
Madison Ave. Apts.	H-2718	On-site Review	Yes
Mae Suites Apts.	H-160	On-site Review	Yes
Maple Ridge	H/TCAP/1602-2627	On-site Review	Yes
Maple Ridge II Apts.	H/HTF-10874	On-site Review	No
Maplewood Estates	H-10428	On-site Review	No
Marigold Village/Viola Apts.	H-174	On-site Review	No
May Apartments	H-11051	Desk Review	Yes
Mayor's Manor Apts.	H-410	On-site Review	Yes
McKenzie Falls	CDT/H/1602/FAF-10170	On-site Review	Yes
Meadow Green Apts.	H-215 / HTF-0800	On-site Review	Yes

Table 17 - Properties Inspected continued-2

Dev. Name	HOME DEV. #	Management & Occupancy Review	Management & Occupancy Review
Melmar Apts.	H/FAF-10222	Desk Review	No
Melrose Commons	TEB-275 / H-573 / HTF-1472	Desk Review	No
Mendota Senior Housing Ph. I	H/FAF-10258	On-site Review	No
Mills Crossing Apts.	H-569 / HTF-1515	Desk Review	Yes
Moline Enterprise Live-Work Lofts	RS/H/1602-10338	Desk Review	No
Monmouth Farms	H/TCAP/1602-10063	Desk Review	Yes
Mount Prospect Horizon Sr.	H/HTF-10742	Desk Review	No
Near North SRO	H-2209 / HTF-2209	Desk Review	Yes

DRAFT 2016 Consolidated Plan – Annual Performance Report

New Boston Apts.	H-388	Desk Review	No
New Holland Apartments	H-2060	On-site Review	No
North Avenue Apts.	H-589 / HTF-1492	Desk Review	No
Northtown Apts.	H-10712	Desk Review	No
Oglesby Sr. Housing Phase I	H/FAF-10272	On-site Review	No
Old Chicago Apts.	H-240	On-site Review	Yes
Ottawa Place Senior Apts.	H-491	On-site Review	Yes
Ottawa Senior Housing	H-10530	Desk Review	Yes
Parkside Apts.	H-2239 / HTF-2239	Desk Review	No
Parkside Homes	H-10965	Desk Review	No
Parkview Apts. of Metropolis	H-2242	On-site Review	No

Table 18 - Properties Inspected continued-3

Dev. Name	HOME DEV. #	Management & Occupancy Review	Physical Inspection
Parkview Place of Sterling	H-2628 / HTF-2628	Desk Review	No
Paul G. Stewart V	H-074	On-site Review	No
Pearl Place Seniors Apts.	H-211	Desk Review	No
Peru Senior Housing	H-635	Desk Review	No
Peru Senior Housing Phase II	H-2355	Desk Review	No
Pine View Apartments	H-727	On-site Review	No
Plowfield Square	H/HTF-10798	On-site Review	No
Prairie Meadows Homes	H-1602-10122	Desk Review	No
Prairie View Apts.	TEB / H-2285	Desk Review	Yes
Prestwick Senior Community	H-639	On-site Review	Yes
Princeton Senior Housing I	H-267	On-site Review	No
Princeton Senior Housing II	H-482	On-site Review	No
Project Now/CHDO	H-111	Desk Review	Yes
Rebecca Johnson	H-243	On-site Review	No
Rebecca Walker	HTF-10847 / H-080	On-site Review	Yes
Rose Terrace Apts.	H-103	Desk Review	No
Rowan Trees Apts.	H-164	On-site Review	Yes
Sala Flats	H-715	On-site Review	No
Sankofa House	H-2329 / HTF-2329	On-site Review	Yes

Table 19 - Properties Inspected continued-4

Dev. Name	HOME DEV. #	Management & Occupancy Review	Physical Inspection
Senior Suites of Bellwood	H-10937	On-site Review	No
Senior Suites of Blue Island	H-2960	On-site Review	No
Senior Suites of Joliet	H-2249	On-site Review	Yes
Shelbyville Apts.	H-146	On-site Review	No
Shorewood Horizon Senior Living Community	H/HTF/1602-10055	Desk Review	No

DRAFT 2016 Consolidated Plan – Annual Performance Report

Southwick Apts.	H-11066	On-site Review	No
Sparta Rental Rehab aka Pyramid Manor	H-313	On-site Review	No
Spring Valley Senior Housing	H-2696 / HTF-2696	On-site Review	No
Spring Valley Sr. Hsg. Ph. II	H/TCAP/1602-10056	On-site Review	No
St. Andrew's Court	H-163	On-site Review	Yes
St. Edmund's Corners	H-072	On-site Review	Yes
St. James Senior Estates II	H/1602-2866	Desk Review	Yes
Ster-Lynn Estates	H-181	On-site Review	No
Strawberry Meadows	H-638	Desk Review	Yes
Streator Senior Housing	H-2061	Desk Review	Yes
Streator Senior Housing Ph. II	H-2611 / HTF-2611	Desk Review	Yes
Summertree Apts fka Fairview Ridge	H/TFB/1602-10349	Desk Review	Yes
The Benton Place Senior Apts.	H-209	On-site Review	Yes
The Glen	H-2246	On-site Review	No

Table 20 - Properties Inspected continued-5

Dev. Name	HOME DEV. #	Management & Occupancy Review	Physical Inspection
The Homestead at Morton Grove	RS/H/1602-10359	On-site Review	No
The Residences of Lake in the Hills	H/FAF-10248	On-site Review	No
The Suites of Autumn Green at Wright Campus	H/HTF-10134	On-site Review	No
The Villas of Carbondale	H/1602-2868	On-site Review	Yes
Towerview Apts.	H-016	On-site Review	Yes
Tri-County Single Family Rental	H-196	On-site Review	Yes
Tri-County Single Family Rental	H-409	On-site Review	Yes
Turnberry Village II at Bloomington	AMB-0270 / H-664 / FAF044	On-site Review	Yes
Twenty First Homes	H/1602-2887	On-site Review	Yes
Valley Ridge Sr. Community	H-487	On-site Review	No
Victory Centre of Bartlett SLF	Victory Centre of Bartlett SLF	Desk Review	Yes
Village Green Apts.	H-147	Desk Review	No
Village of Effingham	H-175 / HTF-0675	Desk Review	No
Voss Brothers Lofts	H-2044	Desk Review	No
Walnut Estate	H/HTF-10688	Desk Review	No
Wayne Apts.	H-242	On-site Review	Yes
Welch Woods	H-2034 / HTF-2034	On-site Review	No
Wilmington Senior Apartments	H-575	On-site Review	No
Wilmington Senior Housing Ph. II	H/HTF/TCAP/1602-2860	On-site Review	No

Table 21 - Properties Inspected continued-6

Dev. Name	HOME DEV. #	Management & Occupancy Review	Physical Inspection
------------------	--------------------	--	----------------------------

Wingate Manor I	H-2937	On-site Review	No
Woodlawn Apts.	H-10453	Desk Review	No
Woodstock Senior Apts.	H-650	Desk Review	No
Yellow Creek Glen Apts.	H-373	On-site Review	No
Zurich Meadows	RS/H/FAF/1602-10334	On-site Review	No

Table 22 - Properties Inspected continued-7

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

The Authority requires grantees of HOME projects consisting of five or more units to carry out an affirmative marketing program to attract prospective tenants of all minority and non-minority groups within their housing market area. The Authority's Marketing Department evaluates all affirmative marketing plans to determine whether each plan is appropriate. The grantee is required to describe the activities it proposes to carry out during the advance marketing stage and to develop special outreach efforts to assure that any group(s) of persons not likely to apply know about the housing and have the opportunity to rent and/or own. These special outreach efforts start with initial occupancy and are ongoing for the period of affordability. Affirmative marketing activities are to begin at least 30 days prior to the commencement of any general marketing. The Authority is to be notified in writing of the approximate dates when affirmative marketing and general marketing will commence. In addition, the Authority may at any time monitor the implementation of the plan and/or request modification in its format or contents

During the reporting period from January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, the Authority's Marketing Department approved affirmative marketing plans for five (5) developments representing (272) rental units. During the same time period, three (3) developments with a total of (123) units began implementing their Affirmative Marketing Plan

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Program Income totaled \$3,425,175 at the end of the program year (12/31/16). A total of \$4,182,069 in HOME program income was recorded in the reporting system as of May 2, 2017. Due to the delay in the ability of the State to draw down funds till mid-November, these and subsequent 2016 HOME Program income funds have not been applied to projects.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The LIHTC program assisted in developing affordable housing by using indirect Federal subsidies to finance the development or redevelopment of affordable rental housing for low-income households (at

60 percent area median income or below). The Internal Revenue Service allocates federal tax credits to state housing finance agencies which then award tax credits to eligible affordable housing developers who use the equity capital generated from the sale of these tax credits to lower the debt burden on developing these tax credit properties, making it easier to offer lower, more affordable rents. Units must maintain affordable rent for at least 30 years.

The Qualified Action Plan (QAP) specifies how states will review, approve, and allocate federal Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs). As the State's LIHTC allocating agency, IHDA coordinated the development of its 2016-2017 Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP). Besides the program's already statutory targeting to households at 60% of area median income or below, IHDA has built a number of factors into its application scoring system to incentivize better targeting to lower-income households. These included the following point categories: Rental Assistance; Larger Units; Green Building (lower utility bills); Rehabilitation (of existing housing); Community Revitalization Plans; 30% AMI Housing (10-15%); and Statewide Referral Network (SRN) units, which target 10-15% of a property's units to persons/households who are homeless/at-risk of homelessness or have a disability. All of these scoring criteria are aimed at incentivizing project applications which include deeper targeting to low- and moderate-income families.

Foster and Maintain Affordable Housing continued

Additionally, the Illinois Supportive Living Program is an affordable assisted living model administered by the Department of Healthcare and Family Services that offers elderly (65 and older) or persons with physical disabilities (22 and older) housing with services. The aim of the program is to preserve privacy and autonomy in community-based housing while emphasizing health and wellness for persons who would otherwise need nursing facility care. By combining apartment-style housing with personal care and other services, residents can still live independently and take part in decision-making. The Department of Healthcare and Family Services currently operates this program through a Medicaid waiver which allows payment for services that are not routinely covered by Medicaid. These include personal care, homemaking, laundry, medication supervision, social activities, recreation and 24-hour staff to meet residents' scheduled and unscheduled needs. The resident is responsible for paying the cost of room and board at the facility. In 2016, the number of operating supportive living sites grew from 145 communities to 147, increasing the capacity from 11,825 apartments to 12,050 apartments. Of the 147 communities, 8 are disability communities that serve people aged 22 to 64 with physical disabilities (no mental illness or developmental disability). Fourteen sites have been approved for the supportive living program and are in various stages of construction and development. In all, there are supportive living (SL) communities in 73 counties in Illinois. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid has defined Illinois program as meeting the requirements of a community-based settings. Supportive living facilities are among the State's nine current Medicaid waivers. The SLFs reimbursement rate is 49%, of the established nursing home rate, which results in significant State cost savings.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments	311	
Tenant-based rental assistance	10	
Units provided in transitional housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	60	
Total	381	

Table 23 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	ILLINOIS
Organizational DUNS Number	806811931
EIN/TIN Number	362708817
Identify the Field Office	CHICAGO
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Trina
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Vinson
Suffix	0
Title	Program Administrator

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	815 E. Monroe
Street Address 2	0
City	Springfield
State	IL
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	2175243686
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	Traina.Vinson@illinois.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	
First Name	
Last Name	
Suffix	
Title	
Phone Number	
Extension	
Email Address	

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 01/01/2016
Program Year End Date 12/31/2016

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: B.C.M.W. COMMUNITY SERVICES

City: Centralia

State: IL

Zip Code: 62801, 3033

DUNS Number: 079926028

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 44107

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CEFS ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY CORP

City: Effingham

State: IL

Zip Code: 62401, 0928

DUNS Number: 034349263

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 91266

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WABASH AREA DEVELOPMENT, INC.

City: Enfield

State: IL

Zip Code: 62835, 0070

DUNS Number: 099675498

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 51197

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: JOSEPH CORPORATION OF ILLINOIS, INC.

City: Aurora

State: IL

Zip Code: 60505, 3308

DUNS Number: 963969845

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40387

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MID CENTRAL COMMUNITY ACTION, INC.

City: Bloomington

State: IL

Zip Code: 61701, 4700

DUNS Number: 196651152

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 64868

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SHAWNEE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL, INC.

City: Karnak

State: IL

Zip Code: 62956, 0298

DUNS Number: 098630361

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 57537

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ILLINOIS VALLEY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

City: Gillespie

State: IL

Zip Code: 62033, 1605

DUNS Number: 103224549

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 27380

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: EMBARRAS RIVER BASIN AGENCY, INC.

City: Greenup

State: IL

Zip Code: 62428, 0307

DUNS Number: 046685681

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 103435

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CROSSWALK COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC.

City: West Frankfort

State: IL

Zip Code: 62896, 2259

DUNS Number: 173490947

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: DUPAGE COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT

City: Wheaton

State: IL

Zip Code: 60187, 3978

DUNS Number: 135836026

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 26513

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MATTOON AREA PADS COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION

City: Mattoon

State: IL

Zip Code: 61938, 3809

DUNS Number: 154150028

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 39549

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HOME SWEET HOME MINISTRIES, INC.

City: Bloomington

State: IL

Zip Code: 61701, 5243

DUNS Number: 097322531

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 41113

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CAIRO WOMEN'S SHELTER, INC. - CAIRO

City: Cairo

State: IL

Zip Code: 62914, 0911

DUNS Number: 794425603

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 49753

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GOOD SAMARITAN MINISTRIES - A PROJECT OF THE CARBONDALE INTERFAITH COUNCIL

City: Carbondale

State: IL

Zip Code: 62901, 3707

DUNS Number: 167021369

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 28274

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HOPE HAVEN OF DEKALB COUNTY, INC.

City: Dekalb

State: IL

Zip Code: 60115, 5132

DUNS Number: 027394746

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 65518

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WILLIAMSON COUNTY FAMILY CRISIS CENTER

City: Herrin

State: IL

Zip Code: 62948, 1441

DUNS Number: 883193609

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 45015

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LAKE COUNTY HAVEN

City: Libertyville

State: IL

Zip Code: 60048, 0127

DUNS Number: 044524176

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 28315

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE LIGHTHOUSE SHELTER, INC.

City: Marion

State: IL

Zip Code: 62959, 2966

DUNS Number: 790546548

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 34403

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE CATHOLIC BISHOP OF CHICAGO DBA MOST BLESSED TRINITY CHURCH

City: Waukegan

State: IL

Zip Code: 60085, 5001

DUNS Number: 804739738

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 25000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: QUINCY AREA NETWORK AGAINST DOMESTIC ABUSE, INC. (QUANADA)

City: Quincy

State: IL

Zip Code: 62301, 4410

DUNS Number: 069586477

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 25000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HOPE OF OGLE COUNTY

City: Rochelle

State: IL

Zip Code: 61068, 0131

DUNS Number: 111309712

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 31403

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PUBLIC ACTION TO DELIVER SHELTER, INC.

City: Aurora

State: IL

Zip Code: 60506, 5551

DUNS Number: 147764471

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 98996

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY - PEORIA

City: Peoria

State: IL

Zip Code: 61603, 4201

DUNS Number: 125438239

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 71452

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MIDWEST SHELTER FOR HOMELESS VETERANS, INC.

City: Wheaton

State: IL

Zip Code: 60187, 5061

DUNS Number: 361169639

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 53000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CHILDREN'S HOME & AID SOCIETY OF ILLINOIS - BLOOMINGTON

City: Bloomington

State: IL

Zip Code: 61701, 5556

DUNS Number: 147219518

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 61732

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CROSSPOINT HUMAN SERVICES

City: Danville

State: IL

Zip Code: 61832, 5410

DUNS Number: 066262734

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 77325

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CHRISTIAN FAMILY MINISTRIES

City: Joliet

State: IL

Zip Code: 60432, 4309

DUNS Number: 111379582

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 56171

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GARDEN OF PRAYER YOUTH CENTER INCORPORATED

City: Kankakee

State: IL

Zip Code: 60901, 4071

DUNS Number: 828414789

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 26376

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HOPE OF EAST CENTRAL ILLINOIS, NFP.

City: Charleston

State: IL

Zip Code: 61920, 0732

DUNS Number: 177874096

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 42591

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Courage Connection

City: Champaign

State: IL

Zip Code: 61820, 3804

DUNS Number: 834889362

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 36028

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LAZARUS HOUSE

City: St Charles

State: IL

Zip Code: 60174, 2836

DUNS Number: 077919053

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 57495

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: FIFTH STREET RENAISSANCE

City: Springfield

State: IL

Zip Code: 62702, 3852

DUNS Number: 604285932

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 62934

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE CARPENTER'S PLACE

City: Rockford

State: IL

Zip Code: 61104, 1309

DUNS Number: 020436304

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 26403

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PIONEER CENTER FOR HUMAN SERVICES

City: McHenry

State: IL

Zip Code: 60050, 8377

DUNS Number: 074585746

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40439

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: FREEDOM HOUSE

City: Princeton

State: IL

Zip Code: 61356, 1419

DUNS Number: 606627032

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 28248

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ROCKFORD MELD

City: Rockford

State: IL

Zip Code: 61103, 1677

DUNS Number: 627557283

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 68339

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: STOPPING WOMAN ABUSE NOW

City: Olney

State: IL

Zip Code: 62450, 1321

DUNS Number: 605814003

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 53606

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: TRI-COUNTY OPPORTUNITIES COUNCIL

City: Rock Falls

State: IL

Zip Code: 61071, 1407

DUNS Number: 148390578

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 185913

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY - KANKAKEE

City: Kankakee

State: IL

Zip Code: 60901, 4040

DUNS Number: 125426564

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 32971

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY - PEKIN

City: Peoria

State: IL

Zip Code: 61603, 4201

DUNS Number: 125435482

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 42060

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PADS LAKE COUNTY, INC.

City: North Chicago

State: IL

Zip Code: 60064, 3048

DUNS Number: 019728976

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40397

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY - BLOOMINGTON

City: Bloomington

State: IL

Zip Code: 61701, 3866

DUNS Number: 125445658

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 81758

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CATHOLIC CHARITIES OF THE DIOCESE OF JOLIET, INC. - JOLIET

City: Joliet

State: IL

Zip Code: 60432, 4006

DUNS Number: 165912106

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 79514

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GOOD SAMARITAN HOUSE OF GRANITE CITY, INC.

City: Granite City

State: IL

Zip Code: 62040, 4508

DUNS Number: 090183505

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 34650

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CALL FOR HELP, INC.

City: East Saint Louis

State: IL

Zip Code: 62203, 2214

DUNS Number: 160101028

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 91934

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CDBG OPERATIONS CORPORATION

City: East Saint Louis

State: IL

Zip Code: 62205, 2339

DUNS Number: 073045853

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 91934

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: DUPAGE P.A.D.S., INC.

City: Wheaton

State: IL

Zip Code: 60187, 4842

DUNS Number: 883110967

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 53000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY - ALTON

City: Saint Louis

State: MO

Zip Code: 63139, 3147

DUNS Number: 038252115

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 31500

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PHOENIX COMMUNITY CENTER

City: Springfield

State: IL

Zip Code: 62704, 2604

DUNS Number: 055145226

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 61357

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PROJECT NOW INC.

City: Rock Island

State: IL

Zip Code: 61201, 8123

DUNS Number: 060846490

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 233022

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CATHOLIC CHARITIES OF THE DIOCESE OF JOLIET, INC. - VILLA PARK

City: Villa Park

State: IL

Zip Code: 60181, 2016

DUNS Number: 078492254

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 127630

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HOME OF THE SPARROW, INC. MCHENRY

City: McHenry

State: IL

Zip Code: 60050, 4029

DUNS Number: 167865810

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: COMMUNITY CRISIS CENTER, INC

City: Elgin

State: IL

Zip Code: 60120, 6581

DUNS Number: 607208477

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 80692

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PEOPLE'S RESOURCE CENTER

City: Wheaton

State: IL

Zip Code: 60187, 5417

DUNS Number: 605009588

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 26515

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: OUTREACH COMMUNITY MINISTRIES, INC.

City: Wheaton

State: IL

Zip Code: 60187, 5124

DUNS Number: 164241820

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 26513

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: REMEDIES RENEWING LIVES

City: Rockford

State: IL

Zip Code: 61102, 2218

DUNS Number: 102369634

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 25959

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SHELTER CARE MINISTRIES, INC.

City: Rockford

State: IL

Zip Code: 61103, 6811

DUNS Number: 609264098

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40118

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY - DECATUR

City: Decatur

State: IL

Zip Code: 62523, 1214

DUNS Number: 125468707

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87343

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE CENTER FOR PREVENTION OF ABUSE

City: Peoria

State: IL

Zip Code: 61614, 5976

DUNS Number: 167637503

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 52447

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PEORIA FRIENDSHIP HOUSE OF CHRISTIAN SERVICE

City: Peoria

State: IL

Zip Code: 61603, 3951

DUNS Number: 075600932

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 36465

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LAKE COUNTY PLANNING BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT

City: Waukegan

State: IL

Zip Code: 60085, 4304

DUNS Number: 074591652

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 30665

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MADISON COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

City: Edwardsville

State: IL

Zip Code: 62025, 1636

DUNS Number: 040140154

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 84030

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: TURNING POINT, INC.

City: Woodstock

State: IL

Zip Code: 60098, 0723

DUNS Number: 122317894

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 39774

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MADONNA HOUSE

City: Quincy

State: IL

Zip Code: 62306, 0246

DUNS Number: 016760360

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 25000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CRISIS CENTER FOUNDATION

City: Jacksonville

State: IL

Zip Code: 62650, 4036

DUNS Number: 184526952

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 44862

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LIFEBOAT ALLIANCE

City: Mount Vernon

State: IL

Zip Code: 62864, 4330

DUNS Number: 969660641

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 31868

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WESTERN EGYPTIAN ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY COUNCIL

City: Steeleville

State: IL

Zip Code: 62288, 0007

DUNS Number: 021509427

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 36176

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LAKE COUNTY CRISIS CENTER

City: Zion

State: IL

Zip Code: 60099,

DUNS Number: 122324247

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 25000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CATHOLIC CHARITIES OF THE ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO -
WAUKEGAN

City: Chicago

State: IL

Zip Code: 60654, 3503

DUNS Number: 069958528

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 170750

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: OASIS WOMEN'S CENTER

City: Alton

State: IL

Zip Code: 62002, 6229

DUNS Number: 947947198

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 26250

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: KANKAKEE COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC.

City: Kankakee

State: IL

Zip Code: 60901, 4071

DUNS Number: 808959001

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 54793

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CHAMPAIGN COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

City: Urbana

State: IL

Zip Code: 61802, 4578

DUNS Number: 097322861

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 58453

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Samaritan Well, Inc.

City: Macomb

State: IL

Zip Code: 61455, 3207

DUNS Number: 123991734

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 35000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Guardian Angel Community Services

City: Joliet

State: IL

Zip Code: 60435, 3752

DUNS Number: 780402470

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 36816

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Dream Center Peoria

City: Peoria

State: IL

Zip Code: 61603, 3617

DUNS Number: 010692590

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 26076

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: City of Urbana

City: Urbana

State: IL

Zip Code: 61801, 3336

DUNS Number: 111969957

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 51652

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Salvation Army

City: Quincy

State: IL

Zip Code: 62301, 2710

DUNS Number: 848367798

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 100387

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Freeport Area Church Cooperative

City: Freeport

State: IL

Zip Code: 61032, 5618

DUNS Number: 184504272

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 46215

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WINGS, INC.

City: Palatine

State: IL

Zip Code: 60095, 0615

DUNS Number: 009294406

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 66400

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: TOGETHER WE COPE, INC.

City: Tinley Park

State: IL

Zip Code: 60477, 2722

DUNS Number: 007575940

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 75400

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Thresholds
City: Chicago
State: IL
Zip Code: 60613, 2193
DUNS Number: 112846779
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Northwest Compass, Inc.
City: Mount Prospect
State: IL
Zip Code: 60056, 2217
DUNS Number: 792036956
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 35643

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Youth Services Network
City: Rockford
State: IL
Zip Code: 61107, 4010
DUNS Number: 363297042
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: South Fulton Counseling and Consulting
City: Vermont
State: IL
Zip Code: 61484, 0170
DUNS Number: 453712556
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 24 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 25 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 26 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 27 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 28 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 29 - Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 30 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 31 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	7
Number of New Units - Conversion	10
Total Number of bed-nights available	661,417
Total Number of bed-nights provided	489,410
Capacity Utilization	73.99%

Table 32 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Shelter

- Sheltered – 14,322
- Case Management – 12,418
- Transitioned (Permanent Housing) – 2,859
- Still in Shelter – 3,311
- Refused – 2,443

Housing

- Sheltered – 1,833
- Case Management – 1,566
- Rental Assistance – 1,628
- Utility Assistance – 57
- Retained Housing – 1,149
- Transitioned (Permanent Housing) – 200

Rapid Rehousing

DRAFT 2016 Consolidated Plan – Annual Performance Report

- Served – 1,015
- Case Management - 954
- Rental Assistance – 561
- Utility Assistance – 52
- Transitioned (Permanent Housing) – 541
- Shelter – 339
- Transitioned (Non-permanent) - 59

CR-75 – Expenditures**11. Expenditures****11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention**

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	84,528	363,330	318,339
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	23,779	53,203	73,976
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	9,112	130,908	153,895
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	55,733	67,088	36,250
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	173,152	614,529	582,460

Table 33 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	80,389	289,694	249,896
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	29,118	62,115	70,196
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	37,275	163,916	156,990
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	9,968	0	5,832
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	156,750	515,725	482,914

Table 34 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Essential Services	288,558	632,703	727,820
Operations	370,784	563,973	736,742
Renovation	29,587	5,473	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	688,929	1,202,149	1,464,562

Table 35 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
HMIS	7,957	29,269	108,786
Administration	78,458	129,967	129,385
Street Outreach	20,417	52,921	71,578

Table 36 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2014	2015	2016
6,364,992	1,105,246	2,491,639	2,768,107

Table 37 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2014	2015	2016
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	126,088	484,879	400,593
Other Federal Funds	253,550	486,158	787,115
State Government	278,057	606,337	639,416
Local Government	84,061	262,247	198,644
Private Funds	847,931	940,327	1,078,835
Other	107,207	211,654	525,592
Fees	0	0	37,934
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	1,696,894	2,991,602	3,668,129

Table 38 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2014	2015	2016
14,721,617	2,802,140	5,483,241	6,436,236

Table 39 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment

HOME Section 3 Reporting



Section 3 Summary Report

Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-Income Persons
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity

OMB Approval No. 2529-0043
 (exp. 11/30/2018)

Disbursement Agency
ILLINOIS HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHOR
401 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE SUITE 700, CHICAGO, IL 60611
36-2708817

Reporting Entity
ILLINOIS HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHOR
111 E. Wacker Dr. SUITE 1000, CHICAGO, IL 60601

Dollar Amount	\$31,028,589.79
Contact Person	Aaron Turner
Date Report Submitted	02/17/2017

Reporting Period		Program Area Code	Program Area Name
From	To		
01/01/2016	12/31/2016	HOME	HOME Program

Part I: Employment and Training

Job Category	Number of New Hires	Number of New Hires that Are Sec. 3 Residents	Aggregate Number of Staff Hours Worked	Total Staff Hours for Section 3 Employees	Number of Section 3 Trainees
Professional	2	0	0	0	0
Clerical	1	0	0	0	0
Skilled Construction	100	5	0	0	8
Laborers	72	11	0	0	17

Total New Hires	175
Section 3 New Hires	16
Percent Section 3 New Hires	9.14%
Total Section 3 Trainees	25
The minimum numerical goal for Section 3 new hires is 30% .	

Part II: Contracts Awarded

Construction Contracts	
Total dollar amount of construction contracts awarded	\$40,478,869.00
Total dollar amount of contracts awarded to Section 3 businesses	\$1,281,366.00
Percentage of the total dollar amount that was awarded to Section 3 businesses	3.16%
Total number of Section 3 businesses receiving construction contracts	8
The minimum numerical goal for Section 3 construction opportunities is 10% .	

Non-Construction Contracts	
Total dollar amount of all non-construction contracts awarded	\$1,730,767.00
Total dollar amount of non-construction contracts awarded to Section 3 businesses	\$0.00
Percentage of the total dollar amount that was awarded to Section 3 businesses	0.0%
Total number of Section 3 businesses receiving non-construction contracts	0
The minimum numerical goal for Section 3 non-construction opportunities is 3% .	

Part III: Summary

Indicate the efforts made to direct the employment and other economic opportunities generated by HUD financial assistance for housing and community development programs, to the greatest extent feasible, toward low- and very low-income persons, particularly those who are recipients of government assistance for housing.

Yes	Attempted to recruit low-income residents through: local advertising media, signs prominently displayed at the project site, contacts with community organizations and public or private agencies operating within the metropolitan area (or nonmetropolitan county) in which the Section 3 covered program or project is located, or similar methods.
Yes	Participated in a HUD program or other program which promotes the training or employment of Section 3 residents.
No	Participated in a HUD program or other program which promotes the award of contracts to business concerns which meet the definition of Section 3 business concerns.
Yes	Coordinated with Youthbuild Programs administered in the metropolitan area in which the Section 3 covered project is located.
No	Other; describe below.

UNION HIRES, REQUESTED SECTION 3 WORKERS FROM THE UNION HALL, NO NEW HIRES.